# Rapid and Efficient Methods for Ribosomal RNA Removal from Plant and Metatranscriptome Samples

epicentre An Illumina Company

Cindi A. Hoover<sup>\*1</sup> and Cris Kinross<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Epicentre (an Illumina<sup>®</sup> company), Madison, WI, USA



# Introduction

Deep sequencing of cDNA prepared from total RNA (RNA-Seq) or mRNA (mRNA-Seq) has become the method of choice for transcript profiling, discovery of novel transcripts, and identification of alternative splicing events. However, standard whole-transcriptome approaches to RNA-Seq face a significant challenge, as the vast majority of reads map to rRNA. One solution—poly(A) enrichment—does not capture several biologically relevant RNA species, such as microRNA and other noncoding RNAs, and is ineffective for prokaryote samples.

To overcome these challenges, Epicentre developed Ribo-Zero<sup>™</sup> rRNA removal technology for mammalian, plant, and bacterial total RNA samples. The technology provides excellent removal of rRNA, even from degraded and archived FFPE RNA samples. Here we present preliminary rRNA removal data from two prokaryotic metatranscriptome samples, cow rumen and a sample of mixed prokaryotes. The data show effective rRNA removal and an increase in mapped reads compared to nondepleted control samples. Additionally, we present a comparison of Ribo-Zero kits for removal of rRNA from Plant Leaf or Plant Seeds/Roots on the same rice-stem sample to illustrate the difference in reads mapped to rRNA between these kits. Sequence data were generated using an Illumina<sup>®</sup> HiSeq, but the Ribo-Zero technology is compatible with many downstream applications.

## Results

## **Comparison of Ribo-Zero kits on Rice Stem Sample**


	— Table 1. Summa	ary of rRNA remo	val. —					
		Total Reads (million)	Nuclear (# Mapped Reads)		Mitochondrial (# Mapped Reads)			
			255	185	5.85	235	16S	<b>5</b> S
	Ribo-Zero Plant Leaf (nonmagnetic)	150199353	1659	1206	1110	1123	11544	50
-	Ribo-Zero Seed/ Root (Magnetic)	246010394	959	587	745	1508	19990	4

## Table 3. Summary of synthetic metatranscriptome sequencing metrics.

Sample	Total Reads (million)	% rRNA	% Мар	% Adap
Mettr_1 no depletion	6.08	72.1	4.5	21.5
Mettr_1 Ribo-Zero A	7.96	5.3	67.5	9.4
Mettr_1 Ribo-Zero B	6.82	6.1	70.2	7.9

Figure 6. Final cow rumen RNA-Seq libraries with rRNA removal.


# **Methods Overview**



The process is completed in less than 1.5 hours.

Rice stem sample was treated with either the Ribo-Zero Kit for Plant Leaf (nonmagnetic) or the Magnetic Ribo-Zero Kit for Plant Seeds/Roots. ScriptSeq v2 libraries were prepared and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 at JGI. Ribosomal reads were mapped in bowtie using -v 0 by Epicentre.






A rice stem sample was treated with either the Ribo-Zero Kit (Plant Leaf) or Ribo-Zero Kit (Plant Seed/Root). ScriptSeq v2 libaries were prepared and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000. Reads were analyzed by Picard Tools CollectRnaSeqMetrics.

## Metatranscriptome Samples

Ribo-Zero kits have been tested at the JGI on several metatranscriptome samples, including 'synthetic' metatranscriptome, Mettr\_1 and cow rumen. All data presented here used the Ribo-Zero Meta-Bacteria kit and/or the Human/Mouse/Rat kit. Library construction began with 1 µg of total RNA, unless otherwise specified. After cDNA synthesis, samples were processed as nonstranded, amplified Illumina TruSeq libraries.




Agilent DNA HS QC of final cow rumen libraries. Two separate rounds of Ribo-Zero Meta-Bacteria + H/M/R = red trace. Single round of mixture of Ribo-Zero Meta-Bacteria + H/M/R removal solutions = blue trace. Inset shows total rumen RNA vs. Ribo-Zero depleted RNA.


 Table 4. Summary of cow rumen metatranscriptome sequencing metrics.

Sample	% Adapter	% rRNA	% Map (rumen)	% Other
Cow rumen no depletion control	3.7	82.4	3.4	10.5
Ribo-Zero Meta-Bacteria 1	1.2	15.9	27.7	55.2
Ribo-Zero Meta-Bacteria 2	3.9	13.0	27.3	55.7
Ribo-Zero A Meta-Bacteria/Human/Mouse/Rat (3 µg, 1 rd. of mixed depletion)	1.2	67.8	10.6	56.3
Ribo-Zero B Meta-Bacteria/Human/Mouse/Rat (3 µg, 2 separate rds. of depletion)	12.1	4.9	26.7	56.3

#### Figure 2. Schematic overview of the ScriptSeq<sup>™</sup> v2 directional, di-tagged library preparation method.




The process is completed in less than 4 hours, with no intermediate purification steps from RNA to di-tagged cDNA fragments.



Organisms in 'Synthetic' Metatranscript sample, Mettr_1	Amount of total RNA in pool (ug)
Prochlorococcus marinus pastoris CMP1986	0.1
Pediococcus pentosaceus	6.0
Acinetobacter sp. ADP1	2.5
Cyanobacterium synechocystis PCC 6803	3.0
Synechococcus elongates PCC 7942	0.5

## Figure 5. rRNA removal from synthetic metatranscriptome.



# Summary

#### **Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal**

- Efficient "single-pass" removal of rRNA from both intact and fragmented total RNA samples in <1.5 hours.
- Highly effective on complex metatranscriptome samples.
- Kits for human/mouse/rat (mammalian), bacteria, and plant are now available in a magnetic format for improved ease of use.


## ScriptSeq v2 Library Preparation

- Simple ligation-free, directional RNA-Seq library preparation method in under 4 hours from rRNA-depleted RNA or poly(A)<sup>+</sup> mRNA.
- ► High-quality RNA-Seq libraries from either intact or fragmented total RNA samples.
- Excellent strand preservation (>98%) and transcript coverage.
- Compatible with Illumina instruments with barcoding option available.

## Acknowledgement

Special thanks to Jeff Martin of the Joint Genome Institute for his help with data transfer and analysis.

\*Contact: cahoover@lbl.gov



#### Rapid and Efficient Methods for Ribosomal RNA Removal from Plant and Metatranscriptome Samples

Cindi Hoover<sup>1</sup>, Cris Kinross<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute // LBNL - Walnut Creek, CA 2 Epicentre (an Illumina Company) – Madison, WI

<sup>a</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: <u>cahoover@lbl.gov</u>

March 19, 2012

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

The work conducted by the US Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the DOE under Contract Number DE-AC02-05CH11231. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. Special thanks to Jeff Martin of the Joint Genome Institute for his help with data transfer and analysis.

#### **DISCLAIMER:**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California.

LBNL-6299E-Poster